1 2 3 4 5 6 7	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP Howard Hirsch (State Bar No. 213209) Abigail Blodgett (State Bar No. 278813) 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com ablodgett@lexlawgroup.com Attorneys for Plaintiff ANDREA GREENBERG			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
12	ANIDDEA CREENDERC 1 1 10 01 10	C N 216 GV 06000 WWO		
13	ANDREA GREENBERG, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,	Case No. 3:16-CV-06090-WHO		
14	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER SETTING BRIEFING AND HEARING SCHEDULE FOR PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO		
15	v.	REMAND AND DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS		
16		Judge: Hon. William H. Orrick		
17	GALDERMA LABORATORIES, L.P., and DOES 1-100,	Action Removed: October 21, 2016		
18	Defendants.	,		
19	Defendance.			
20		J		
21				
22				
2324				
25				
26				
27				
28				
		1		
	STIPULATION & ORDER SETTING BRIEFIN	G SCHEDULE – Case No. 3:16-CV-06090-WHO		

1	<u>STIPULATION</u>
2	Pursuant to Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, Plaintiff Andrea Greenberg ("Plaintiff") and
3	defendant Galderma Laboratories, L.P. ("Galderma") (collectively, the "Parties"), by and through
4	their respective counsel of record, enter into the following stipulation setting the briefing and
5	hearing schedule for Plaintiff's Motion to Remand and Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, and
6	declare the following:
7	WHEREAS, Plaintiff's Complaint was originally filed in the Superior Court of the State of
8	California, County of Alameda, Case No. RG 16-831799;
9	WHEREAS, on October 21, 2016, Galderma filed a Notice of Removal pursuant to the
10	Class Action Fairness Act ("CAFA") removing this action to the Northern District of California,
11	Case No. 3:16-CV-06090;
12	WHEREAS, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), Galderma's Notice of Removal includes a
13	short and plain statement of the grounds for removal, and, pursuant to Dart v. Cherokee Basin
14	Operating Co. LLC, 135 S. Ct. 547 (2014) and its progeny, the Notice of Removal does not
15	include any evidentiary submissions;
16	WHEREAS, Plaintiff intends to submit a Motion to Remand this case to the Superior
17	Court shortly on the grounds that Galderma has not and cannot prove by a preponderance of the
18	evidence that Plaintiff's claims satisfy the \$5 million jurisdictional threshold under CAFA;
19	WHEREAS, Galderma intends to oppose Plaintiff's Motion to Remand;
20	WHEREAS, Plaintiff anticipates conducting discovery regarding Galderma's jurisdictional
21	allegations after reviewing any evidentiary submissions filed by Galderma in connection with its
22	Opposition to the Motion to Remand;
23	WHEREAS, on October 25, 2016, the Parties stipulated to extend Galderma's deadline to
24	respond to the Complaint from October 28, 2016 to November 28, 2016;
25	WHEREAS, on November 28, 2016, Galderma filed its Motion to Dismiss the Complaint;
26	WHEREAS, Plaintiff's current deadline to file an Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss is
27	December 12, 2016, and Galderma's current deadline to file a Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition is
28	December 19, 2016;

1	WHEREAS, the ho	earing for Galderma's Motion to Dismiss is currently set for January 25,		
2	2017 at 2:00 p.m. before the	2017 at 2:00 p.m. before the Honorable William H. Orrick in Courtroom 2 of this Court;		
3	WHEREAS, the Pa	WHEREAS, the Parties agree that it would be most efficient to hold the hearings for		
4	Galderma's Motion to Dis	Galderma's Motion to Dismiss and Plaintiff's anticipated Motion to Remand on the same date;		
5	WHEREAS, the Pa	WHEREAS, the Parties have met and conferred and agreed that they will need additional		
6	time to conduct discovery	time to conduct discovery prior to the January 25, 2017 hearing and to submit briefs to oppose and		
7	reply to each motion;	reply to each motion;		
8	WHEREAS, pursu	WHEREAS, pursuant to the Court's Case Management Conference Order (ECF No. 12)		
9	the initial Case Management Conference ("CMC") is presently scheduled for January 24, 2017;			
10	WHEREAS, the Parties agree that it would be more efficient to hold the initial CMC after			
11	the Court's ruling on Plaintiff's anticipated Motion to Remand and Galderma's Motion to			
12	Dismiss;			
13	WHEREAS, the Parties agree that neither will be prejudiced by extending the hearing for			
14	the Motion to Dismiss and	scheduling the hearing for the Motion to Remand on that same day, nor		
15	will this litigation be unreasonably delayed.			
16	THEREFORE, THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE to the following			
17	briefing and hearing schedule:			
18	a) Plai	ntiff shall file a Motion to Remand the case to the Superior Court on or		
19	befo	ore December 19, 2016;		
20	b) Gal	derma shall file its opposition papers to Plaintiff's Motion to Remand on		
21	or b	efore January 18, 2017;		
22	c) Plai	ntiff shall file her reply papers related to any Motion to Remand on or		
23	befo	ore March 24, 2017;		
24	d) Plai	ntiff shall file her opposition papers to the Motion to Dismiss on or		
25	befo	ore February 24, 2017;		
26	e) Gal	derma shall file its reply papers in support of its Motion to Dismiss on		
27	or b	efore March 24, 2017; and		
28	f) The	hearing for the Motion to Dismiss and the Motion to Remand on April		
		2		

Case 3:16-cv-06090-WHO Document 17 Filed 12/07/16 Page 4 of 5

1	5, 2017, at 2:00 p.m., or at such other time thereafter as the Court might	
2	schedule.	
3	g) The Case Management Conference presently scheduled for January 24,	
4	2017 shall be continued until April 25, 2017, or at such other time thereafter	
5	as the Court might schedule.	
6		
7		
8		
9	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
10	DATED: December 6, 2016 LEXINGTON LAW GROUP	
11		
12	By: <u>/s/ Howard Hirsch</u> Howard Hirsch	
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
14 15	DATED, Daniel C 2016 MAYED DROWN LLD	
16	DATED: December 6, 2016 MAYER BROWN LLP	
17		
18	By: <u>/s/ Dale J. Giali</u> Dale J. Giali	
19	Attorneys for Defendant	
20	ATTESTATION	
21	Pursuant to N.D. Cal. Local Rule 5.1(i)(3), I, Howard Hirsch, hereby attest that	
22	\(\frac{1}{2}\)	
23	DATED: December 6, 2016 LEXINGTON LAW GROUP	
24	DATED. December 6, 2016 LEAINGTON LAW GROUP	
25		
26	By: /s/ Howard Hirsch Howard Hirsch	
27	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
28		
	4 STIPULATION & ORDER SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE – Case No. 3:16-CV-06090-WHO	

1	ODDED	
1	ORDER DUDGHANT TO THE STIDLE ATION IT IS SO ORDERED	
2	PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
3	1.1.11	
4	Dated: December 7, 2016	
5	UN TED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	5	